it in OK to say ‘no’ – What was that discus- 
sion about? In the chapter on collegiality and com-
munity, the authors note that ‘community is intangible and fluid, making it much more diffi-
cult to offer practical advice.’ Yet, this very absence of how-to lists and bullet-
pointed programmes shows the authors’ hon-
dest dislike of one-size-fits-all solutions. Despite the brevity of language used in this 
field, Berg and Sorens push their thesis that 
community counts, and well. We envis-
age Slow Professors acting purposefully, cul-
tering emotional and intellectual resilience. It is a 
worthwhile gesture of a partial conversation. 

RACHEL HADAS

Politics
Andrew S. Crutes and Kevin Blackmon, editors

HAROLD WILSON
The unprincipled Prime Minister?
978 1 78590 031 0

For twenty years after his occupation in 
Left and the Right of the Labour Party. Both 
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